

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN
Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Grunewald	28	4
2	Kevin Hale	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Shreya Seth	28.5	1
1	Maggie Davis	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from St Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: LPW

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted ^{AFF} because I think the CP doesn't solve hegemony.
I think there needs to be more impact comparison on heg US.
NMD and ultimately you don't have much hegemony
defense. Particularly when you have a lot of link defense on
NMD, you want a lot of reasons why the magnitude of the
net benefit is bigger than a (S) deficit to the CP.
~~Adver 20 is also pretty good but not as good as~~
~~Adver 100 - you should point this out~~

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
2	nikhil bontha	28	1
1	robert baldwin	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Lesser	27.5	4
2	Thomas Lloyd	28	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kinkaid SS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg's story on Elections link is shallow & confused. 2NR literally says BOTH that McCain would distance himself from Bush AND - pl. = Bush win = McCain win. 2NR is extremely brief on (L) question - simply stating that McCain will take credit for pl. & ~~the~~ extends Goldsby card that says Bush will spin plan - so, at end of Debate I don't know what your link story is. Regardless, 2NR doesn't answer Aff Link Turns that pl. is a win for Obama & Congressional Black Caucus. It's defense since aff lacks (L), but 2NR also cold drops ecan turns on coal D/A which does have (L).

Room: LS 118
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod B

4

Slotter, J.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Teddy Kontopoulos	27.5	3
2	Randy Rossman	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mitchel Hochberg	29	1
1	Zack Parker	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Glenbrook North
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 3AR 5th

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Voted on (T). Feel that the 1AR & 2AR were
not together on what args to go for. 2AR goes for args
not extended in 1AR. However I do think it is a
good arg.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
2	nikhil bontha	20.5	2
1	robert baldwin	20.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Lesser	28	3
2	Thomas Lloyd	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Kinkaid BB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

^{on policy}
Neg evidence is not very good - analysis & stand up to Aff.
The CBK is a win for ~~Bon~~ Obama, the Internal (L) Lf
Bush & McCain ev. is poor,
Ask me at the elim

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kush Patel	28	4
2	Chris Patterson	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
1N	Nas Schmidt	28	2
2N	Alyssa Padilla	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Carrollton
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Need more analysis about impact of ⑤ deficit on the CP. Explain which of your advantages can't be accessed.

AF wins the substance of the debate. only ~~modifies~~ definition, so it doesn't risk to an ~~trade off~~ trade off ~~DA~~ DA, so there's only a risk of a ⑤ deficit on the CP. This is probably a Tissue.

Vote neg, though. INC says AF isn't intent and is dropped though debate. Talk about it more before LNR for more growth.

Room: LS 101
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod A A

4

Song, D. ^N
7:43

5:09

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

3:13
3:08

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Alex Miles	28.5	1
2	Rishi Das	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
2	Carlos Penikis	28	2
1	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from CPS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CLOSE DEBATE. ^{REMARK}
RG: AFF RACE & GOOD BUT WITHOUT WARRANTS +
NOT & BAD (SAVING CAPITALISM FROM CAPITALISTS).
ZNR STORY NOT GREAT, BUT HANBERG &
ON ROOT CAUSE GOOD (ART ENERGY CAN'T ACCESS
CHANGES TO ECONOMY OR ENVIRONMENT) + B OBJECTIVE
+ BASES & ON INDIVIDUAL ACTION PROVIDES STATION
LINK + OVERCOMES "CAP ZNR" DEFENSE AGAINST ART.
ZAR CASE ANALYSIS OK, BUT NOT GOOD TO
WEIGH CASE VS ZNR ROOT CAUSE ARG (AS
2 IMPERFECT AS THEY ARE) ZNR NEEDS MORE
BUT & IS GOOD.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
2	Joe Loftus	27	4
1	Connor Theilmann	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Michael Hoffmann	28.5	1
1	Jack Mackay	28	2

The better debating was done by the neg from Marquette
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2A- put CASE AFTER
① - you drop the
scholarly flow and a lot
of key arguments

2A- wrong about the
economics/politics
issues

Block-
might want to
answer ⑤
or/and explain
why you neg's
are NB's

NEG - you need
an external
cause, someone

REF:

① politics cause
econ collapse
faster than
CASE ⑤

① he is bad -
concede it leads
to terror
+ its collapse
is inevitable

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nabeela Ahmed	28	4
2	Kathy Nalepka	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mustafa Inamullah	28	2
2	Sam Varney	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Chattahoochee IV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff Neg
~~3:45~~
3:30
~~5:45~~
1:25

Aff doesn't get to case in the ZAR with enough time to answer the majority of the neg arguments.

The ZAR does a good job on the DA but doesn't answer some important neg distinctions.

The neg wins that even if McCain opposes RPS he would use it to prove he's a maverick and that the uniqueness doesn't

the ZAR could have won by spending less time re-explaining all the impacts and more on clashing at the ZAR.

the neg could have overwhelmed the link because this is McCain's one chance to win. The public opposition turn doesn't answer

won more easily on the K

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
2	Daniel Taylor	29	1
1	Ellis Allen	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
2	schuiler scher	27	3
1	merrick wilson	27	4

A N
8:40 6:00
5:20

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westminster
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: D Randall

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

INC-read cites!
ZNC have %vs
when "kick" cp & AZ @ necessary

to go for @ need
2 ans all args in 2AC in blk

INR @ enough on @ drop
% in 2AC and standards

2NR @ ans to c/i's standards

2AR correct best I/L
limits
pred
+ edu

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1	maranna	28.5	1
2	yoder	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lindsey Garland	26.5	4
2	Sarah Parker	27	3

MAVERICK

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

OPRF MY
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD:
NEG DROPS
99.9% OF
LINE BY
LINE ON
EVERY
ARGUMENT
THIS = AFF
WIN

2NC NEED TO GO LINE BY LINE IT DOES
NOT HELP TO SIMPLY EXTEND YOUR T VIOLATIONS.
THE NEG MUST RESPOND TO AFF ARGS.

Also, you do NOT UNDERSTAND THE IDENTITY POLITICS K DO NOT
GO FOR KREFERS YOU DO NOT HAVE A GRASP ON THE PHILOSOPHICAL
CONCEPTS BEHIND THE K.

HOPE
AFF - I HOPE YOU GUYS CAN FIGURE OUT YOUR PARTNER DRAMA BECAUSE YOU
ARE GOOD 2ND YEARS. I AM MAD YOUR PARTNER ABANDONED YOU LIKE
THIS. I THINK IT IS SELFISH AND RUDE. FIGURE IT OUT BECAUSE YOU
GUYS HAVE A LOT OF POTENTIAL. NEVERMIND, SONG TOLD ME HE QUIT.

INR - YOU ARE READING NON-UNIQUES ON POLITICS NOT
UNIQUENESS. THIS IS KIND OF A BIG DEAL. 2:30 OF INR IS
AFF DEFENSE ON
POLITICS.

NEG - LINE BY
LINE, IMPROVING ON YOUR TECH
OFFER WITH USIP A RINCH.

Room: LS 120
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod C

4

Smart, S.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
1	james hanley	28.5	1
2	will hardwicke	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	4
1	Matthew Marcus	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from OAK PARK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Smart, S.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A
2:32
4:52

N
9:44
4:10

CP DOESN'T SOLVE HEG AFF DOES. HEG SOLVES
THE IMPACT OF THE DIA

EMAIL ME W/ QUESTIONS:
SMARTS57@WFO.EDU

Room: LS 197
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod D

4

Rowe, D.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jacob Wikman	28	1
2	Rishee Batra	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sonali Kalvala	27	4
2	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from St. MARK'S
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF WNS TECH INELITABLE AND
A LINK TURN ON TECH 1

ORAL

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jonathan Choi	28	1
1	Phillip Han	27 28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
2	ZhenZhen Shi	28 28	2
1	Taylor Templeton	26 27	4

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Glenbrook South
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Christi Halbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Work on cleaning up your ~~flows~~ flows - you are constantly jumping between them & not SIGNPOSTING. Be clear about what argument you are making & where it goes. Work on comparative analysis on the line by line, as well as giving an impact calc on the flows.

PFD: Case impacts outweigh the impacts of the CP, making plan the best policy option.

Room: LS 116
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod A

4

~~Blumenthal, J.~~
GARRETT S.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1/2	maranna	28.5	1
1/2	yoder	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lindsey Garland	26	3
2	Sarah Parker	25.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from OAK PARK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Stephen J. J. J.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IA: Kato's could be useful for your framework in the IAC. Avoid using 'black minorities'. Just say disadvantaged minorities. ~~IA~~ IAR is good, but needs slightly better time allocation. You over cover one (1) at the expense of the others. It doesn't hurt you this time because of this specific situation and the cross application. Preempt them bringing back the sp. Next consumption

IIA: You need more on T incentives. Although your W/M is probably true, Theory on multiple conditional Advantages would be good. You read contradictory insignificance even Elections. Too reliant on case for I/A. sit on insignificance with h overwhelms link, its an easier way out now. Need offense on elections. Your plan really doesn't meet the (1), but they don't make that arg

RFO: (1) → Racism independent voter. neg wins both interps. perm (5) k.

IN: you need 'A' drills and speed drills. Your Elections scenario is not unique on any level. Need to be platform clearly explain the k in CX. Don't make a kritik if you don't understand. INR should not take prep. Neg black completely drops states that has a perm. you read answers to your own politics scenario. INR other than that was just 2 NC repetition. You need to understand the positions

II N: You answered on T incentives contradict. You need to answer T more structurally. You must answer all other answers not just extend your own args. you must answer their concerns and counter interps. ~~at least~~. Need to work on organization. you cannot drop args. 2 NB is incoherent and you don't impact your args and make for too many drops. Must answer your opp's answers

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

H *N*
5:50 *6:45*
7:10 *7:45*
2:10

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jonathan Choi	27.5	1
1	Phillip Han	27.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
2	ZhenZhen Shi	27	3
1	Taylor Templeton	24	4

The better debating was done by the *AFF* from Glenbrook South

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: *[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AC: on the India DA, why would they make something that was bad for them?

[Signature]

AFF
You have an unorthodox view of uniqueness on the top of the case debate

Clamon Taylor, at least try.....

Room: LS 197
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod D

4

Jennings, Andrew

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jacob Wikman	27.5	1
2	Rishee Batra	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sonali Kalvala	27.5	2
2	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from St. Mark's
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Chung In

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: LS 120
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod C

4

Peretz, Grant

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
1	james hanley	24.5	1
2	will hardwicke	24	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Tabitha Cohen	27.5	4
1	Matthew Marcus	28	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from OPRF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Grant Peretz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DEAR OAK PARK - THANK YOU FOR FINALLY READING A NEG AFF. - GRANT

REF: No evidence that troop levels are low now means CP & S heg, CP & S China - heg
S + o/w risk of the thin DA

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
2	Daniel Taylor	29	2
1	Ellis Allen	29.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
2	schuiler scher	23	4
1	merrick wilson	26	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westminster
AFF & NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Beith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg condensed the debate in the 2NR to a T only debate. However, the neg still must answer the Aff's grammar arg whether they like it or think it is not absurd. This is the first time I have voted on T in recent memory. Nevertheless...

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nabeela Ahmed	28	4
2	Kathy Nalepka	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
1	Mustafa Inamullah	29	1
2	Sam Varney	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Chattahoochee
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DA → A Being done. Aff concedes this in 1AR - 2AR analysis too late. Even if ~~the~~ there's a risk it & - 2AR & Answer impact defense on Natural gas and competitiveness as well as some (S) fake outs. No offense on DA ~~defense~~ makes it hard to win Adv's o/w in terms of these concessions. Also Neg wins definitive risk Russian war through T/F, Probability and has several links and tech Args & Answered

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
2	Joe Loftus	27	3
1	Connor Theilmann	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Michael Hoffmann	29	1
1	Jack Mackay	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Marquette
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

St Mark's | MARQUETTE
6:15 | 8:56
3:57

CIA Needs better explanation
as to how it is "different than ASPEC"

2AC - better CP blocks,
ALWAYS permute, more
offense on fix, don't put
cascode
2NC - Very nice. bottom
1NR - " . Could
have explained CP a bit more.
1AR - put the procedural
on top, follow your roadmap,
don't drop DAs
2NR - Smart, maybe more time on
condos + have better/more
reasons as why heg &
exist now than Georgia
2AR - decide if you are going
for theory or substance +
ONR go for that so you have
time to elaborate.

Room: LS 118
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod B

4

Berthiaume, Magg

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Teddy Kontopoulos	26	4
2	Randy Rossman	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mitchel Hochberg	29	1
1	Zack Parker	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from GBN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended No

Signed: W. Berthiaume

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote neg on politics. Too much of the 2AR impact calc is new - ~~too~~ despite no case debate, the DA olw the case.

~~2AR~~ Block should extend the case - would 2NR strat.

2AR/1AR had a lot of differences - especially on ① & impact analysis.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Grunewald	27	4
2	Kevin Hale	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Shreya Seth	29	2
1	Maggie Davis	27	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from ST MARK'S
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: MAX HANTTEL

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE AFF WINS A COUPLE ARGS:

(1) THE (P) - THEIR ANSWER SU. INDICATES IT ADDRES PERCEPTION OF
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT; NEG'S ONLY ANSWER IS NOT RELEVANT
ABOUT CROWD OUT. LOW RISK OF EXH'S 4/ COMBINED W/

↳
(2) (S) DEFECT - I DON'T THINK THE NEG (S) IS THE MAXWELL
CARD OR THE ILL TO PERCEPTIONS OF US TRADE POLICY.
(THE FONTAINE ILL ON NAME IS GOOD). I THINK THE (S)
DEFECT MAKE IS ENOUGH TO O/W POLITECS BECAUSE OF
UNMETICATED TRADE I/ A RISK OF THEG.

Room: LS 119
Start: 3:15 p.m.
Pod B

4

Carswell, M.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

225
202
202

Please don't disclose decision.

205

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kush Patel	26	1
2	Chris Patterson	27.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nas Schmidt	27	4
2	Alyssa Padilla	28	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill PP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - Section doesn't speak to Aff. Should have explained the T Bdr.

Aff - Watch out for small arguments like the Interency. It can be clearly

R1-D! Aff proves (S) doesn't 2 CP, & doesn't (L) 2 DA. -

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

Please don't disclose decision.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Alex Miles	28	2
2	Rishi Das	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
2	Carlos Penikis	28.5	3
1	Tatsuro Yamamura	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from College Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Glenda Ferguson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AC - The computer & your briefs hide your face
I really appreciate your enthusiasm - both teams. (ask for
paradigms in the future) That said I think you are all
great, however the story in 2AR comes a little late - wish
you had started it earlier. Aff is behind on the K almost
from the beginning. If in 2AR you are going to claim 2NR
are are new, you need to be specific as to what they are
and give more of an explanation as to why that's important.
Basically, I think the story in 2AR was probably true but
late.